FILED: ROCKLAND COUNTY CLERK 08/20/2020 04:31 PM NYSCEF DOC. NO. 72 ase 7:21-cv-04403-CS Document 1-5 Filed 05/17/21 INDEX NO. 031809/2020 Page 2 of 5 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 08/20/2020

> SUPREME COURT: STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF ROCKLAND

RS OLD MILLS RD, LLC,

Plaintiff,

-against-

SUFFERN PARTNERS, LLC,

Defendant.

HON. ROLF M. THORSEN, A.J.S.C.

----X To commence the statutory time period for appeals as of right (CPLR 5513[a]), you are advised to serve a copy of this order, with notice of entry, upon all parties.

DECISION & ORDER

Index No: 031809/2020 E

The within action arises out of a complicated series of real estate transactions pertaining to the purchase of several properties and the improvements thereon (hereinafter "the Novartis properties"), which took place in September 2017. Currently pending before this court is Defendant Suffern Partners, LLC's (hereinafter "Suffern Partners" or "Defendant") order to show cause seeking cancellation of the Notices of Pendency filed by Plaintiff against the Novartis properties, or in the alternative, dismissal of the Complaint. The Court has considered the following papers on the motions:

- Order to Show Cause, Lefkowitz Affirmation of Emergency, Affirmation in Support and Exhibits 1 through 31 attached thereto, and Memorandum of Law;
- Attorney Affirmation in Opposition and Exhibits 1 through 2. 15 attached thereto;
- Reply Affirmation; 3.
- Miscellaneous correspondence; and 4.
- Transcript of the Oral Argument on May 19, 2020.

In order to provide context to the within proceeding, this Court sets forth a brief synopsis in the most simplest of terms. 1 On September 1, 2017, a Bargain and Sale Deed was executed

¹ For a more comprehensive recitation of the facts, this Court refers to the Opinion and Order of the Honorable Vincent L. Briccetti, United States District Judge for the United States District Court of the Southern District of New York (hereinafter "the District Court") dated May 8, 2020 (NYSCEF Doc. No. 65), specifically the section labeled "Background," for the underlying facts regarding the series of transactions that took place with respect to the purchase of the Novartis properties.

INDEX NO. 031809/2020-Page 3 of 5 NYSCEF: 08/20/2020

transferring the Novartis properties from Novartis Corporation to non-party RS Old Mills, LLC. Thereafter, on September 5, 2017, two Bargain and Sale Deeds were executed: one transferring the Novartis properties from non-party RS Old Mills, LLC to Plaintiff and the second transferring the Novartis properties from Plaintiff to Suffern Partners. All three Bargain and Sale Deeds were recorded in the Rockland County Clerk's Office on September Apparently, in order for non-party RS Old Mills, LLC, who had filed for bankruptcy protection in February 2017, to not forfeit its \$2.5 million downpayment and due to its inability to obtain funding as debtor in bankruptcy, the above multi-transfer plan was formulated.² The plan involved the creation of several limited liability companies, including Plaintiff, which was formed on August 8, 2018, and Defendant, which was formed the following day, as well as complex and confusing equity interests and funding agreements. The end result was Defendant's purchase of the Novartis properties from Plaintiff, for which Defendant secured a \$33 million loan in order to do so. Numerous litigation ensued as a result of these transactions.

As is relevant here, non-party/debtor RS Old Mills, LLC filed an adversary proceeding in the United States Bankruptcy Court (hereinafter "the Bankruptcy Court"), naming both Plaintiff and Defendant as well as numerous other individuals and entities that were involved in the multi-transfer plan, asserting causes of action for, inter alia, fraudulent conveyance, conversion and conspiracy. The court-appointed Trustee, on behalf of nonparty/debtor RS Old Mills, LLC, entered into a Stipulation of Settlement with Defendant wherein non-party/debtor RS Old Mills, LLC's adversary proceeding was dismissed with prejudice, the Trustee released and waived all claims non-party/debtor RS Old Mills, LLC had against Defendant with respect to Defendant's title the Novartis properties but preserved any claims party/debtor RS Old Mills, LLC may have with respect to equity interests in Defendant. By Order dated January 14, 2020, the Bankruptcy Court approved that Stipulation of Settlement and specifically found that Plaintiff was a good faith purchaser of the Novartis properties from non-party/debtor RS Old Mills, LLC and therefore entitled to the protection, under the Bankruptcy Code, of having received valid title from non-party/debtor RS Old Mills, LLC. In addition, the Bankruptcy Court also approved the second transfer of the property, i.e., from non-party/debtor RS Old Mills, LLC to Plaintiff, nunc pro tunc. Non-party/debtor RS Old Mills, LLC thereafter appealed the Bankruptcy Court's January 14, 2020 Order,

 $^{^2}$ At the time the transfers were made, non-party RS Old Mills, LLC, despite its status as a debtor, did not obtain the required approval from the Bankruptcy Court.

INDEX NO. 031809/2020

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 72 Case 7.21-cv-04403-CS Document 1-5 Filed 05/17/21 Page 4 of 5 NYSCEF: 08/20/2020

which the District Court dismissed in an Opinion and Order dated May 8, 2020.

Subsequent to the Bankruptcy Court's January 14, 2020 Order but prior to the District Court's May 8, 2020 Opinion and Order dismissing the appeal, Plaintiff commenced the within litigation asserting four causes of action: conversion, rescission, declaratory judgment to quiet title and, in the alternative, breach of contract. As the first three causes of action seek to challenge Defendant's title and ultimately "undo" the transfer of the property from Plaintiff to Defendant, Plaintiff filed Notices of Pendency with respect to the Novartis properties. Defendant now moves to cancel them.

As set forth in CPLR §6501, "[a] notice of pendency may be filed in any action in a court of the state or of the United States in which the judgment demanded would affect the title to, or the possession, use or enjoyment of, real property." Thus, "[i]n" entertaining a motion to cancel, the court essentially is limited to reviewing the pleading to ascertain whether the action falls within the scope of CPLR 6501." 5303 Realty Corp. v. O & Y Equity Corp., 64 N.Y.2d 313, 320 (1984). However, due to the complexity of these transactions, as well as the procedural nuances as a result of the Bankruptcy and District Court proceedings, this Court would be remiss in limiting its review to only the Complaint. Moreover, since Defendant has also moved to dismiss Plaintiff's complaint pursuant to CPLR 3211(a)(1), and both parties have submitted numerous documents to the Court, the Court has considered the full record before it in determining both the motion to cancel the Notices of Pendency and the motion to dismiss the Complaint.

Thus, having considering the foregoing papers and having heard the arguments of counsel (virtually) on May 19, 2020, it is hereby

ORDERED that Defendant's motion to cancel the Notices of Pendency is denied (CPLR 6514 (b)); and it is further

ORDERED that Defendant's motion to dismiss the complaint is granted to the extent that the cause of action for conversion (first cause of action) is dismissed; and it is further

ORDERED that Defendants shall file their answer to the complaint within twenty (20) days of the date of this Decision and Order; and it is further

ORDERED that counsel shall appear for a preliminary conference, via Microsoft Teams, on Wednesday, September 23, 2020 at 2:30 p.m.; and it is further

INDEX NO. 031809/2020
Page 5 of 5
NYSCEF: 08/20/2020

ORDERED that any other request for relief not herein decided is denied.

The foregoing constitutes the Decision and Order of this Court.

ENTER

Dated: August 20, 2020

New City, New York

HON. ROLE M. THORSEN

Acting Supreme Court Justice

TO: NYSCEF